#### Semantic Search



# What is Search?

- We are given a set of objects and a query. We want to retrieve some of the objects.
- Interesting questions:
  - What information is associated with every object?
    - Single piece of data or multiple pieces of data?
    - Are the objects homogenous?
    - Is the information textual or structured data?
  - How is the query posed?
    - Do we use natural language text or do we have a query language with strict grammar?
  - How is the result calculated?
    - How do we rank the result?
    - What are data structures we can use for efficient query answering? (pre-computed results and indexes)

# The set of objects

- Key-value pairs
- A set of homogenous objects with the same attributes (e.g., a set of employees with ssn, name, age, and departmentID)
- A set of heterogeneous objects. For example, we can put employees, children and department in the same set. Every object can have different attributes and we do not have a data schema.
- The information that is associated with every object can be hierarchical (e.g., an XML document).
- We can have a formal description for every object in a logical language (e.g., OWL)
- Or maybe we just have a textual description associated with every object (e.g., white sneakers size 10).

#### **Key-value stores**





**Berkeley DB** 

Bigtable









Any App. On Any Cloud. Your Way

# **Key-value Store Implementation**

- We are given a key-value combination.
- We can store it in a hash table (possibly distributed over many servers).
- The keys must be unique.
- The query specifies the key and retrieves the value.
- Usually implemented using a hash table.



#### **Homogenous Objects**

ssn	name	age	salary
234324465	Bob	23	\$40K
242343223	John	43	\$120K
643325243	Ann	33	\$150K
235342567	Suzan	62	\$66K

**Employee Table** 

select \* from Employee where salary > \$100K

#### Semi-Structured (Heterogeneous) Objects

```
<!-- reviewedbooks.dtd --> (document type definition)
<!DOCTYPE reviewedbooks
<!ELEMENT reviewedbooks ( book+)>
<!ELEMENT book ( title, author+, publisher, isbn,
review*)>
<!ELEMENT title ( #PCDATA)>
<!ELEMENT author ( firstname, lastname, flag)>
<!ELEMENT firstname ( #PCDATA)>
<!ELEMENT lastname ( #PCDATA)>
<!ATTLIST flag gender ( M | F ) "F">
<!ELEMENT publisher ( #PCDATA)>
<!ELEMENT isbn ( #PCDATA)>
<!ELEMENT review ( #PCDATA)>
```

>

<mark>s1</mark>

Slide 7			

**s1** stanchev, 2/10/2016

#### **Actual XML**

<book>

<title>Gone with the Wind</title> <author>Margaret Mitchell</author> <publisher>Warner Books</publisher> <isbn>0446365386</isbn>

<review>

Sometimes only remembered for the epic motion picture and "Frankly ... I don't give a damn," Gone with the Wind was initially a compelling and entertaining novel. ...

</review>

</book>



### (Resource Description Framework) RDF Objects



#### Ontology Web Language (OWL)





pepperoni pizza Pizza and (some topping pepperoni) and (some ingredient cheese)

Hawaiian pizza DeepDishPizza and (some topping pineapple) and (some ingredient tomato)

# SPARQL Query

```
SELECT * WHERE
  ?pizza rdfs:subClassOf [
    owl:onProperty :hasTopping;
    owl:someValuesFrom :MozzarellaTopping ] .
  ?pizza rdfs:subClassOf [
    owl:onProperty :hasTopping;
    owl:someValuesFrom :PeperonSausageTopping ] .
  ?pizza rdfs:subClassOf [
    owl:onProperty :hasTopping;
    owl:someValuesFrom :TomatoTopping ] .
```

#### **Text Descriptions**



White sneakers size 8



Air Jordan Shoes

Query: "Running footwear"

#### **Keywords-based Search**

- Terms Frequency Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF)
- The query is parsed into terms and the weight of each term is evaluated.

 $weight = \sqrt{tf} * (1 + \log_2(\frac{numDocs}{docFreq+1}))^2$ 

- tf is the number of times the terms appears in the document
- numDocs is the total number of documents.
- docFreq is the number of documents in which the term appears.
- Idea: if the query contains rarely used words, then the documents that contain them are given more weight.
- Advantage: good ranking of documents based on keywords matching.
- **Problem**: doesn't work on example from previous slides.

# Probabilistic Graph Approach



#### **Our System**



# About WordNet

- WordNet gives us information about the words in the English language.
- In our study, we use WordNet 3.0, which contains approximately 150,000 different words.
- WordNet also contains phrases (or word forms), such as sports utility vehicle.
- The meaning of a word form is not precise. For example, spring can mean *the season after winter*, *a metal elastic device*, or *natural flow of ground water*, among others.
- WordNet uses the concept of a sense. For example, spring has the three senses.
- Every word form has one or more senses and every sense is represented by one or more word forms. A human can usually determine which of the many senses a word form represents by the context in which the word form is used.

# About WordNet (cont'd)

- WordNet contains the definition and example use of each sense. It also contains information about the relationship between senses.
- The senses in WordNet are divided into four categories: nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs.
- For example, WordNet stores information about the hyponym and meronym relationship for nouns. The hyponym relationship corresponds to the ``kind-of" relationship (for example, dog is a hyponym of canine).
- The meronym relationship corresponds to the part-of relationship (for example, window is a meronym of building). Similar relationships are also defined for verbs, adjectives, and adverbs.

# About Wikipedia

- Pages have titles and subtitles.
- Pages have see-also links.
- Pages contain text.
- Pages contain hyperlinks.
- Pages belong to categories.
- Categories can have super-categories and subcategories.
- There are page redirects. One page redirects to another page.
- There are disambiguation pages.

# Initial Probabilistic Graph

- Create a node for every word form from WordNet.
- Create a node for every sense.
- Create a node fore every Wikipedia page.
- Create a node for every Wikipedia category.

### Processing the Senses (WordNet)



Frequency of use of each sense is given in WordNet.

# Adding Definition Edges (WordNet)



 $computeMinMax(minValue, maxValue, ratio) = minValue + (maxValue - minValue) * \frac{-1}{log_2(ratio)}$ 

- Position is first word, so we give it greater importance.
- Forward edge: computeMinMax(0,0.6,ratio).
- If position appears in only three word form definitions, then we compute backward edge as computeMinMax(0,0.3,1/3).

# Processing Hyponyms (WordNet)



In the British National Corpus, the frequency of armchair is 657 and the frequency of wheelchair is 551.

# Page Redirections (Wikipedia)



We assume that there are three redirects that are pointng to computer accessibility.

#### **Connecting Wikipedia and WordNet**



Fig. 2. Wikipedia pages to word form edges.

We perform this algorithm for Wikipedia titles, subtitles, and categories.

# **Processing Wikipedia Text**



- We only consider words or phrases that appear five times or more. We consider single words, pair of words, and triplets of words.
- In our example, Canada appears 89 times in the document and the document has a total of 300 words that are part of frequently occurring phrases.

#### See-also links and Hyperlinks



Fig. 5. Edges for see-also links.



The Wikipedia page contains a total of 530 distinct hyperlinks. At the same time, there are 10 links that point to maple leaf flag.

Fig. 6. Edges for hyperlinks.

#### **Pages and Categories**



Fig. 7. Edges for subcategories.



- The "size" of furniture is 917 and the size of beds is 41
- There are two super-categories of beds: furniture and sleep.

# The Probabilistic Graph



- Normalize graph:
  - "Add" probabilities of identical edges using Markov Logic Network Model.
  - Sum of the weights of all edges going out of a node = 1
- Big Money Question:
  - P(relevant(d1) | relevant(cat)) = ?
  - P(relevant(d2)|relevant(cat)) = ?

# Multiply probabilities



#### 0.1\*0.3\*0.4+0.6\*.03\*0.2+0.3\*0.3\*0.1 Problem: can't count all edges

## Markov Logic Network

$$A_n \xrightarrow{w_{n-1}} A_{n-1} \quad \cdots \quad A_1 \xrightarrow{w_0} A_0$$

$$\frac{P(rel(A_0) \wedge rel(A_n))}{P(rel(A_n))} = \frac{f11(n-1)}{f10(n-1) + f11(n-1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f00(0) &= e^{w_0} & f00(i) = f00(i-1) * e^{w_i} + f10(i-1) * e^{w_i} \\ f01(0) &= e^{w_0} & f10(i) = f00(i-1) * 1 + f10(i-1) * e^{w_i} \\ f10(0) &= 1 & f01(i) = f01(i-1) * e^{w_i} + f11(i-1) * e^{w_i} \\ f11(0) &= e^{w_0} & f11(i) = f01(i-1) * 1 + f11(i-1) * e^{w_i} \end{aligned}$$

#### Random Walk



Start with cat and follow a random path. Roll a dice to determine where to go at each node. Go for 5 edges. Do 10000 runs and see in how many d1 will be reached. Problem: What if we never reach some documents?

## Conclusion

- Regular search: find the requrested information.
- Problem: how do you take the meaning of the data into account. How do you rank the answers?
- Semantic Search: Return documents that are semantically relevant.
- Probability can be used to rank the documents in the answer.